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| **Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram:** | **Student number:** **447814** |
| **Details of any extensions etc: 57%** | **Submission Attempt:**  First submission Second submission |

**Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria and weighting** | **Level** | **Comments:** |
| **Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%,**  Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? | **Very Good** | Generally, very good knowledge and understanding of the subject. Research drawn through the content is targeted although a little descriptive |
| **Criticality weighted at 25%,**  Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design? | **Satisfactory** | There is some evaluation regarding your discussion but the content tends to lack analysis. For future summative engage in the pros and cons of discussion a little more to ensure a fully critical response |
| **Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%:**  Is the presentation well organised? | **Very Good** | Very good structure and presentation of the content. The power point is step wise and unfolds the discussion logically |
| **Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%:**  Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging? | **Satisfactory** | This is taking into account English as a second language. The content of the summative is presented reasonably well, but there is quite a lot of fragmented recording with some content having quite a lot of background noise. For future try to ensure that the place of recording is quiet. The content from the oral presentation does follow the power point |
| **Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:**  Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?  **Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%**:  Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design? | **Very Good**  **Very Good** | All the main points from the power point are covered, in line with the rhythm of the power point slides  A very good list of references in place in line with the methodology of study put forward through the oral presentation |
| Overall | | |
| **Positives:**   * Some very good outcomes * With some satisfactory outcomes * And a satisfactory outcome overall | | |
| **Points for development:**   * Work a little more on the quality of the audio * This will make the presentation more fluid * Work on criticality and the content being less descriptive | | |